

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/781,486	MCKAY, WILLIAM D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Under Allowance

(1) Patricia L. Nordmeyer.

(3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey Kaden.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006

Time: 1:00 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, 10 and 18-20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Kaden and the Examiner discussed amendments to claims 1, 4 and 5 to clarify that the "leading separable edge" and "separable edge" were referring to the same element of the article. Claims 10 and 18-20 are being withdrawn as being directed towards a separate invention as agreed upon by both the Examiner and Mr. Kaden..